



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,664	07/15/2003	Ching-Yu Chou	2450-0521P	5883
2292	7590	07/06/2005	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			DO, AN H	
PO BOX 747			ART UNIT	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			PAPER NUMBER	
			2853	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/618,664

Applicant(s)

CHOU ET AL. 

Examiner

An H. Do

Art Unit

2853

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 June 2005.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-9 and 11-14 is/are rejected.
7) ☒ Claim(s) 5, 10 and 15 is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment filed on 23 June 2005 has been acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hanaoka et al (US 6,736,496).

Hanaoka et al discloses in Figures 9 and 10 the following claimed features:

Regarding claims 1, 6 and 11, a porous material (foam 106) for channeling ink located in an ink compartment (container body 102) of an ink cartridge (ink tank 100), characterized in a body (foam 106) which has a protrusive bottom surface (looking at Figure 9, foam 106 has a protrusive portion on the left) squeezed (inserted into as shown in Figure 10) by the ink cartridge (ink tank 100), the squeezed protrusive bottom surface forming an ink gathering zone with a higher porosity than a remainder of the body (Figure 10 shows foam 106 inserted into the container body 102 with a space surrounded by the protrusive portion on the left; therefore, the bottom surface having higher porosity than a remainder of the body). And also therefore teaches a method for

channeling ink in an ink cartridge through porous material in view of the fact that the structure is taught.

Regarding claims 2, 7 and 12, wherein the bottom surface is located according to where an inkjet head is located (looking at Figure 10, the bottom surface of foam 106 covers all across the ink container 102 which contacts with the printhead when inserted, column 14, lines 17-20). And also therefore teaches a method for channeling ink in an ink cartridge through porous material in view of the fact that the structure is taught.

Regarding claims 3, 8 and 13, wherein the body of the porous material consists of rectangular elements and is formed stepwise (Figure 9 shows foam 106 can be cut into two separate rectangular portions, column 12, lines 15-17). And also therefore teaches a method for channeling ink in an ink cartridge through porous material in view of the fact that the structure is taught.

Regarding claims 4, 9 and 14, wherein the body of the porous material is selectively formed in a trapezoid, U-shape or a wedge shape (Figure 9). And also therefore teaches a method for channeling ink in an ink cartridge through porous material in view of the fact that the structure is taught.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 23 June 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that Hanaoka fails to teach "a body which has a protrusive bottom surface squeezed by the ink cartridge" as set forth in claims 1, 6 and 11. This argument is not found persuasive for the reason that Hanaoka clearly shows in Figure 9 a foam (106) having a protrusive bottom surface (on the left) squeezed

(inserted into) by the ink cartridge (100). Applicant further argued that Hanaoka also fails to teach "the squeezed protrusive bottom surface forming an ink gathering zone with a higher porosity than a remainder of the body" as set forth in claims 1, 6 and 11. Again, this argument is not persuasive due to the fact that when the foam (106) is inserted into the cartridge (100) as shown in Figure 10, the bottom surface is squeezed and hence having a higher porosity than anywhere else of the cartridge (100).

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5, 10 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An H. Do whose telephone number is 571-272-2143. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (Flexible).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



AD
July 1, 2005



Stephen D. Meier
Primary Examiner